

From owner-qrp-l@netcom.com Thu Oct 27 02:01:14 1994
Message-Id: <m0r0L0q-00001nC@blue.cse.ogi.edu>
Date: Wed, 26 Oct 94 20:21 PDT
From: eburke@cse.ogi.edu (Edward Burke)
Subject: <didn't bother with a subject>

unsubscribe qrp-l

From owner-qrp-l@netcom.com Thu Oct 27 22:54:48 1994
Message-Id: <9410271806.AA09625@emsr1.emsr.att.com>
From: meh@cbsms1.cb.att.com (m.e.hartwell)
Subject: <didn't bother with a subject>
Date: 27 Oct 1994 14:01 EDT

Hello all

I have recently read in this group different ways a person could ham from a place with restricted antennas. I have long thought of this because I sometimes am in places where putting up a dipole or some other antenna is not convenient or the owner of the motel would object to me climbing his trees or some such. Anyway here are a couple or so of my ideas of what I would try. While in Canada one time we had a cabin on the lake, I had a rather good bumper mount with a whip cb antenna attached. I pulled the cable from the front of the car and reran it out the trunk, pulled the car in backwards in the slot and attached some extension coax to the cable running from the trunk through the window to the antenna tuner and from there to the rig, the car made a fairly good ground plane and I did get out. Now a variation on that idea would be to make up or buy one of those heavy duty mag mounts with the three magnets and put a real big vertical on the roof of the car, better ground plane, and if there were traps I bet it would get out real good. Now how about in a condo or apartment on a weekend couldn't you do the same thing, people might say something if you put an antenna on your patio but may not even see the thing on top of some car in the parking lot.

Same thing in a trailer park, my mother lives in Yuma AZ in the winter, I doubt if I could get away with putting a two meter

antenna

up on the trailer for packet but just leaving a 5/8th wave on the

roof

of the car and run the coax into the trailer and bang I was on

packet.

Just some thoughts.

Marty kd8bj

From owner-qrp-l@netcom.com Thu Oct 27 09:39:54 1994
From: Mike.Czuhajewski@hambbs.wb3ffv.ampr.org (Mike Czuhajewski)
Subject: ARK4 user comments?
Date: Thu, 27 Oct 94 06:42:48 EST5EDT
Message-Id: <1994Oct27.064248.19624@wb3ffv.ampr.org>

I'm starting to write my review of the ARK4 for the QRP Quarterly, and I'd like to have some comments from people who have actually used one on the air for some time. (That's the ARK4, the less expensive one that comes in a modem-like case, not the more expensive bigger brother, the ARK40). Some areas I'm interested in--how do you like the push button tuning? Quirky RIT/fine tuning? (If you have one, you know what I mean.) Any problem with audio being distorted? Audio OK until you turn on the audio filter and then becoming distorted? Any problem with the 10 KHz whine in the background--does it cause any problems, or is it only noticeable when the audio is cranked all the way down? Anything else--likes, dislikes, etc. One more thing, rather important to me, is when you bought the radio, ie, whether it's a current version or an earlier one which may or not have all the modifications. Dick told me he was going to switch to a different chip in the audio, for instance. There were also a variety of mods along the way. I have had my hands on several of these which he provided, but all were prototypes with various levels of mods; one had all current mods as of the date I got it, early August (although there may have been more since then, such as the replacement of the audio chip). Please send your comments via e-mail; I'll work some of them into the review, as appropriate, and give you full credit. Sorry, can't share the authors payment with you since the QRP Quarterly doesn't pay authors, but you do get the fame and glory of having your name in print! 73 and Queue Our Pea DE WA8MCQ
--

Mike Czuhajewski, user of the UniBoard System @ wb3ffv.ampr.org
E-Mail: Mike.Czuhajewski@hambbs.wb3ffv.ampr.org
The WB3FFV Amateur Radio BBS - Located in Baltimore, Maryland USA
Supporting the Amateur Radio Hobby, and TCP/IP InterNetworking

From owner-qrp-l@netcom.com Thu Oct 27 08:39:42 1994
From: JEVERHART@cayman.vf.ge.com
Date: Wed, 26 Oct 1994 15:09:57 -0400 (EDT)
Message-Id: <941026150957.21205532@cayman.vf.ge.com>
Subject: Re: Color Burst Crystals

Craig, you asked:

> Can anyone suggest a source for 3579.545 KHz crystals? I had one
> on those critters around here somewhere, but I can't find it.

> Thanks & 73,

> Craig WB3GCK

If you want a crystal in the worst way you can always go to your local "Technology Store" - Radio Shack. You might also be surprised how many fairly common gadgets in the home use this same crystal. They are so cheap and plentiful that almost anything with a cheap microcontroller uses them. Even your telephone probably uses one for the touchtone pad. If you've got any junked electronic goodies around, check to see if there's a rock inside.

72,

Joe E. N2CX

From owner-qrp-l@netcom.com Thu Oct 27 23:33:30 1994
Date: Thu, 27 Oct 94 23:31 GMT
From: oddjob@cix.compulink.co.uk (Stephen Walters)
Subject: Convert SSB CB rigs for QRP? +6L6 rigs?
Message-Id: <memo.814470@cix.compulink.co.uk>

1. Converting SSB CB rigs for QRP?

I have just inherited a HygainV , 120 channel, AM, FM, SSB rig, power output unknown ('cos it's not working..)

Can this be converted to 10m ham or 2/4/6m possibly as an exciter?

any ideas....

I'll have to fix it first...the lights are on but nobody's in...no audio... did not try on transmit..

Secondly are there any 6L6 valve transmitter or hybrid circuits...I will repay postag in IRC's some how?!

oddjob@cix.compulink.co.uk

From owner-qrp-l@netcom.com Thu Oct 27 15:09:35 1994
From: "Doyle, Ron" <doyler@uh2297p01.daytonoh.NCR.COM>
Subject: Fox Hunt w/a no find
Date: Thu, 27 Oct 94 07:30:00 PDT
Message-Id: <2EAFBBB4@p003103gw1.daytonoh.ncr.com>

I listened intently at 0100z on 3710. OK, I know wrong frequency. Heck it

was even the wrong band! Sometimes life is so unfair. :) Didn't here the fox but did here Ray, KE4HOS in Cotton Town, TN calling CQ. I decided that if I couldn't find the fox I would at the very least try to make a contact. Ray was 599 to me and I was 569 to him. He was surprised about my 3 watts. I was too since I couldn't make any contacts last week at 100 watts. (Can you say 100 watts on the qrp net?) I guess it shows that you have to turn the power DOWN to make contacts!. Had fun listening anyway. Maybe next time.

73 to all

```
.-----V-----.  
| Ron Doyle                | N8VAR  
| AT&T GIS - Dayton        | Work (513) 445-3179  
| <Ronald.Doyle@DaytonOH.NCR.COM> | Home (513) 237-0790  
|-----^-----|  
| PRACTICE RANDOM KINDNESS AND SENSELESS ACTS OF BEAUTY |  
|-----V-----|
```

From owner-qrp-l@netcom.com Thu Oct 27 09:14:54 1994
From: Toshiyuki Ando <ando@oecj.co.jp>
Message-Id: <9410271030.AA14321@take.oecj.co.jp>
Subject: fox hunting
Date: Thu, 27 Oct 94 19:30:59 JST

Hi folks,

Can anyone tell me what "fox hunting" means in this QRP scene?
When it comes to "fox hunting" in Japan, I just recall the athletic race to detect the " fox " by using VHF receiver in the mountains.

Regards,
Toshi Ando
JK1APL (Tokyo Japan)
ando@oecj.co.jp

From owner-qrp-l@netcom.com Thu Oct 27 12:29:19 1994
Message-Id: <199410271329.JAA01256@thor.INS.CWRU.Edu>
From: Stephen Trier <sct@po.cwru.edu>
Date: 27 Oct 1994 13:28:55 GMT
Subject: Re: fox hunting

Toshi Ando, JK1APL writes:
> Can anyone tell me what "fox hunting" means in this QRP scene?

Fox hunting usually means the same thing in the U.S. as in Japan: People

race to locate a hidden VHF transmitter.

Chuck, K5F0, came up with a variation on it for QRP operators and sponsored what may be the first-ever stationary foxhunt! A volunteer, the "fox", gets on the air at an advertised time of day, band, and mode, and it's up to the rest of the mailing list to try to find him and make contact. The fox is hiding in bandwidth instead of in space. Chuck is offering a nice prize for the person who can be logged by the most foxes over several months.

I think it's all a very good idea!

Stephen

--

Stephen Trier "[W]hen you've got Ethernet running to your desktop,
sct@po.cwru.edu you don't want to talk to people."
KG8IH - jacobs@eek.student.cwru.edu, on ham radio at CWRU

From owner-qrp-1@netcom.com Thu Oct 27 05:00:18 1994
Date: Wed, 26 Oct 94 23:50:02 PST
From: Roger L Traylor <Roger_L_Traylor@ccm.jf.intel.com>
Message-Id: <941026235002_1@ccm.hf.intel.com>
Subject: Fox or Chickens

Text item: Text_1

Gang,

I did not hear the fox in Oregon. I've heard N6ULU before and he has a great signal. I did hear lots of static and 3-land, 8-land, and 0-land calls. Sounds like the skip on 40m was long. Maybe this hound should hunt for chickens in the hen house instead of foxes on the run? :^)

Roger WB4TPW

From owner-qrp-1@netcom.com Thu Oct 27 09:02:08 1994
Date: Wed, 26 Oct 1994 13:18:42 +0800
From: Raymond.Anderson@EBay.Sun.COM (Ray Anderson)
Message-Id: <9410262018.AA13864@uranium.EBay.Sun.COM>
Subject: Re: Hamstick

>
> Has anyone tried this?
>

>
I haven't used it myself, but I've worked several stations who have. A couple of them were QRPers and were getting out pretty good. I even worked one guy who fed his hamstick dipole with ladder line and used it on several bands. You can buy a mount made especially for making a Hamstick dipole.

73, Craig WB3GCK

From owner-qrp-l@netcom.com Thu Oct 27 16:01:31 1994
Date: Thu, 27 Oct 1994 09:20:39 -0600 (MDT)
From: Robert Cutter <bcutter@csn.org>
Subject: Re: Hamstick
Message-Id: <Pine.3.89.9410270935.A9950-01000000@teal.csn.org>

On Wed, 26 Oct 1994, Mike Robinson wrote:

>
> Has anyone tried this?
>
> Two Hamsticks mounted end to end, isolated from eachother:
>
> -----<\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\ \\ \\ \\ \\\\ [] \\\\ / / / / \\\\>-----
> | |
> center->| |<-braid
> | |
> \ /
> |
> |
> |
> |
> coax-> |
>
>
> Wire the left one from the center tap and the right
> one from the braid on a coax.
>
> Essentially an end loaded dipole.
>
> If the Hamstick performs reasonably well mounted
> to a vehicle. Wouldn't this design make a very
> compact beam?
>
> =====
> 7.3 de Michael kg0ot (formerly kd6wdd, soon to be aa0s_)

> miker@cc.com ---==<< I'm the last 'S' in KISS >>===--
> =====
>

I have tried it with Hustler Resonators with reasonable results
73, Bob KI0G

From owner-qrp-1@netcom.com Thu Oct 27 22:18:07 1994
Date: Thu, 27 Oct 94 16:19:16 -0500
Message-Id: <9410272119.AA11356@gw1.phibred.com>
From: halljerry@phibred.com (ELECTRONICS GROUP - EXT. 4012)
Subject: Re: Hamstick

I have had excellent results with hamstick antennas on 40,20,and 17 meters.
What I like about them is that you can mount them on the trunk lid where
they are further off the ground and away from the bulk of the car body as
compared to the usual bumper mount variety. I tune them to resonance with
a noise bridge connected via a 1/2 wavelength coax cable. On 40 and 20
meters a shunt capacitor and a couple of inches extra length was required
to obtain a 50 ohm match.

I believe the company that sells them also sells an assembly for putting
them in a dipole configuration.

I have considered putting two of them on the top of my roof with radials
in the attic to form a "low profile" vertical phased array for 20 or 17
meters. Any thoughts on that configuration?

73
Jerry WB0DBG

From owner-qrp-1@netcom.com Thu Oct 27 09:20:14 1994
Date: Wed, 26 Oct 1994 12:47:13 -0400 (EDT)
From: prvalko <prvalko@vela.acs.oakland.edu>
Subject: Re: Help in identifying
Message-Id: <Pine.3.89.9410261256.A27925-01000000@saturn.acs.oakland.edu>

On Wed, 26 Oct 1994 CamQRP@aol.com wrote:

> Hello Jim -
>
> The box you are asking about is probably the Ten-Tec Model 208-A. It was an
> audio filter and Notch filter in one box, designed as an accessory for the
> Argo 515. I don't have one, but I do have the Ten-Tec info sheet and
> schematic, which I'll send to you if you want.

Jim,

coax-> |

Wire the left one from the center tap and the right one from the braid on a coax.

Essentially an end loaded dipole.

If the Hamstick performs reasonably well mounted to a vehicle. Wouldn't this design make a very compact beam?

```
=====
7.3 de Michael kg0ot      ( formerly kd6wdd, soon to be aa0s_ )
miker@cc.com              --==<< I'm the last 'S' in KISS >>==--
=====
```

From owner-qrp-1@netcom.com Thu Oct 27 02:28:31 1994
From: Mike.Czuhajewski@hambbs.wb3ffv.ampr.org (Mike Czuhajewski)
Subject: Re: Isotron and QRP
Date: Wed, 26 Oct 94 22:57:13 EST5EDT
Message-Id: <1994Oct26.225713.4837@wb3ffv.ampr.org>

Thanks for the comment on your actual use of the Isotron with QRP. I'd put the Isotron in the same class as the Maxon (spelling?) automatic antenna matcher which is actually just a big power resistor across the antenna terminals: controversial products. (That's a good, safe, diplomatic comment. Read between the lines and come to any conclusions you wish!!!) 73 and Queue Our Pea DE WA8MCQ

--

Mike Czuhajewski, user of the UniBoard System @ wb3ffv.ampr.org
E-Mail: Mike.Czuhajewski@hambbs.wb3ffv.ampr.org
The WB3FFV Amateur Radio BBS - Located in Baltimore, Maryland USA
Supporting the Amateur Radio Hobby, and TCP/IP InterNetworking

From owner-qrp-1@netcom.com Thu Oct 27 09:14:18 1994
Date: 26 Oct 94 17:44:39 EDT
From: Craig LaBarge <74740.3166@compuserve.com>
Subject: Istotron-40 Ant & QRP??
Message-Id: <941026214439_74740.3166_EHB181-2@CompuServe.COM>

John Fleming, N9NDH wrote:

> Has anyone tried the Bilal Isotron-40 antenna for QRP? How does it work? On
> the face of it, it's only 4 lbs and not that big. Could be great for
> portable work.
>
> Anyone ??

I have an ISOTRON 40 wedged up into a little crawl space here and have used it extensively. Although when I was using it heavily I was running about 50 watts, I have made QRP contacts with it.

How well does it work? I found it performs as advertised with regard to bandwidth. Mine was a little touchy to tune, but my installation is not typical. It's intended to be mounted on a grounded mast, but mine is floating. Also, mine is laid over on its side and tilted at a 45 degree angle, if you can picture that.

A dipole will probably outperform it, but it works surprisingly well for its incredibly small size. It's certainly worth considering as a limited space antenna. I also found the vendor, Ralph Bilal, to be extremely helpful when I was trying to figure out the best way to install the thing.

73, Craig WB3GCK
74740.3166@CompuServe.com
Just say NO to QRO!

From owner-qrp-l@netcom.com Thu Oct 27 10:29:12 1994
Date: 27 Oct 94 08:15:10 EDT
From: <Mailfax@dogwood.mhs.compuserve.com>
Subject: Re: Milliwatt arrived here also!!
Message-Id: <941027121510_555063.0_EHF91-1@CompuServe.COM>

Reply-To: risley@walnut.MHS.COMPUSEVE.COM To:
{internet:qrp-l@netcom.com}

The Milliwatt reprint that was ordered from Mike and Bill arrived here yesterday. Thanks for the hard work!!!

72 de Rhett, KB4HG

From owner-qrp-l@netcom.com Thu Oct 27 09:59:05 1994
From: Mike.Czuhajewski@hambbs.wb3ffv.ampr.org (Mike Czuhajewski)
Subject: Re Milliwatt charge orders
Date: Wed, 26 Oct 94 23:51:31 EST5EDT
Message-Id: <1994oct26.235131.6579@wb3ffv.ampr.org>

On a few overseas orders for the Milliwatt, we told them they could send charge card info via Internet if they felt comfortable with it, or else send it by mail. Most, I think, sent it via Internet. I just heard or read somewhere in the last couple days that "they" recommend that people NOT send charge card info via e-mail since the messages go through so many systems and relay points, giving much potential for

unscrupulous people to copy it and use it. For any future printings of the Milliwatt (or Joy of QRP, if we ever do it), instead of saying they can send charge info via e-mail if they feel comfortable doing it, I'll discourage it. I hope no one who sent the info gets bitten by this. 73 and Queue Our Pea DE WA8MCQ

--

Mike Czuhajewski, user of the UniBoard System @ wb3ffv.ampr.org
E-Mail: Mike.Czuhajewski@hambbs.wb3ffv.ampr.org
The WB3FFV Amateur Radio BBS - Located in Baltimore, Maryland USA
Supporting the Amateur Radio Hobby, and TCP/IP InterNetworking

From owner-qrp-1@netcom.com Thu Oct 27 16:17:32 1994
Date: Thu, 27 Oct 1994 11:49:39 -0500
Message-Id: <199410271649.LAA34114@audumla.students.wisc.edu>
From: plquick@facstaff.wisc.edu (Paulette Quick)
Subject: Milliwatt here too!

Yesterday, Wednesday, I visited the loading dock where I work and was so excited to see that the Milliwatt reprints had arrived!! A very good job, Mike, (and others who assisted).

Well worth the money....is it still possible to get tubes for some of the rigs described in the early issues?

All in all, I am very pleased. Thanks again for providing this opportunity.

72,
Paulette Quick
N9OUH

From owner-qrp-1@netcom.com Thu Oct 27 02:36:08 1994
From: Mike.Czuhajewski@hambbs.wb3ffv.ampr.org (Mike Czuhajewski)
Subject: My MILLIWATT is here!
Date: Wed, 26 Oct 94 23:40:58 EST5EDT
Message-Id: <1994Oct26.234058.6579@wb3ffv.ampr.org>

I got my copy today (like the chef sampling his cooking), and it's good to see it on both sides of the paper this time--a more manageable 180 sheets instead of 360! And all in one binder this time, not six. Bill, pass my thanks to the printer. Mine came in a flimsy envelope, or at least flimsy in relation to something this big; hope they don't get mangled by UPS. Note on the Milliwatt--although I co-founded it with Ade Weiss, don't forget that he did 99.95% of the work, and that I wimped out after 4 issues and joined the Air Force (better than going into the ground-pounding Army in Viet Nam!). A couple others have told me they got theirs, too. I have a list of about a dozen folks who expressed interest once upon a time and never another peep--those

issues will be released to the open market immediately--that means NOW, the moment I type this--I've already had several inquiries whether issues were still available--the answer is yes, a very limited number ARE available, at \$22 postpaid in US/Canada; send check to me (and be sure it's in US dollars, dated 1994 instead of 1995, signed on the bottom, etc; I had to return several). Bill also added a couple extra issues to the print run on his own; he'll advertise those himself, I presume. Also, there were many people who said the check was in the mail and then forget to send it. Some of them have responded to my recent queries, admitting their error. If you sent me a message saying the check was in the mail and forgot to actually do it, you should contact me NOW--work phone is 410-290-1919--or else your copy will go on the open market shortly. Hint: if your name was not on the list of paid-up orders I sent out recently, you are not getting one! 73 and Queue Our Pea DE WA8MCQ

--

Mike Czuhajewski, user of the UniBoard System @ wb3ffv.ampr.org
E-Mail: Mike.Czuhajewski@hambbs.wb3ffv.ampr.org
The WB3FFV Amateur Radio BBS - Located in Baltimore, Maryland USA
Supporting the Amateur Radio Hobby, and TCP/IP InterNetworking

From owner-qrp-l@netcom.com Thu Oct 27 15:04:28 1994
From: qrmodena@csemail
Message-Id: <9410271750.AA100769@csemail.cropsci.ncsu.edu>
Subject: N6ULU is loud! (fwd)
Date: Thu, 27 Oct 94 13:50:38 EDT

OPEN MESSAGE to N1IST:

When is the netcom-based reflector going to **actually** relay **all** of the legitimate messages sent to it?

As will be evident from the following **repost**...it is almost 1/2 day since I posted the message below, but it has not appeared in my mailbox...yet I saw Steve Trier's message took only minutes to turn around.

What is happening?

Now...on to the FOX HUNT message I posted **last** night...

Forwarded message:
>From ab4el Wed Oct 26 22:54:55 1994
>From: ab4el (Stephen Modena)
Message-Id: <9410270254.AA15077@Cybernetics.NET>
Subject: N6ULU is loud!
>To: qrp-l@netcom.com
Date: Wed, 26 Oct 1994 22:54:44 -0400 (EDT)

>Cc: ab4el@Cybernetics.NET (Steve Modena)
X-Mailer: ELM [version 2.4 PL23]
Content-Type: text
Content-Length: 353

Just finished working N6ULU, Stan, Monterey, CA running his 3 watts
to a yagi at 90+ feet.

I believe I was his first QSO in the 7040 segment.

f=7041.64 KHz...his 559-QSB-to-579...mine 449 (4 watts).

His signal was clean and keyed nicely.

Band sounded good...

As predicted by K5F0...no problem hearing him!

73/Steve/AB4EL ab4el@Cybernetics.NET

.....
[added now] Also heard, but unable to raise W5TFB at 03:03 559.

From owner-qrp-l@netcom.com Thu Oct 27 22:26:55 1994
Date: Thu, 27 Oct 1994 17:20:06 -0400 (EDT)
From: "John A. Evans" <jaevans@clark.net>
Subject: Need copy of QEX for October 1990
Message-Id: <Pine.SOL.3.91.941027171716.29415A-100000@explorer>

Greetings,

My local Ham Library does not have the October issue of QEX and I would
like to get my hands on the HW-9 mod article(s) and the Safari-4 QRP rig
article (part 1 of 3). If anyone has the issue and could photocopy the
articles I need for cost and reasonable expense, I would appreciate it.

Thanks and 72s

The husband of a brand new ham - N3TOK !!!

John A. Evans, Capt, USAF
VHDL/EDA Engineer
N3Q00 Tech Plus !!!

"My number one goal as a
runner is to live long enough
to place in my age group!!!"

jaevans@clark.net

Mother's Breast Milk - Baby's drug of choice !!

From owner-qrp-l@netcom.com Thu Oct 27 17:25:02 1994
Date: Thu, 27 Oct 94 13:47:18 MDT
From: miker@cc.com (Mike Robinson)
Message-Id: <9410271947.AA26288@cc.com >
Subject: NEQRP 30-40

Every contact is a kick! My 30-40 puts
out 950mW. Last night I had a QSO from
Ft. Collins, CO to Bristol, Maine. Norm,
K1YCS gave me a 449. I can't get enough
of this QRP stuff.

=====

7.3 de Michael kg0ot	(formerly kd6wdd, soon to be aa0s_)
miker@cc.com	--==<< I'm the last 'S' in KISS >>==--

=====

From owner-qrp-l@netcom.com Fri Oct 28 00:25:02 1994
Date: Thu, 27 Oct 94 17:02:23 PST
From: Mike J Pulley <Mike_J_Pulley@ccm.ch.intel.com>
Message-Id: <941027170223_5@ccm.hf.intel.com>
Subject: Re: NEQRP 30-40

Text item:

I just received my 40-40 kit from NN1G earlier this week and
can't wait to get started! Comments like Michael's only fan the
flames of excitement for me.

Seems to me Michael's QSO qualifies for the 1000 mi./W award.
Why not apply, Michael?

Chuck, are you still sponsoring that wall paper?

-- Mike, WB4ZKA

On Thursday, 10/27/94, Michael (kg0ot), miker@cc.com wrote...

Every contact is a kick! My 30-40 puts

out 950mW. Last night I had a QSO from Ft. Collins, CO to Bristol, Maine. Norm, K1YCS gave me a 449. I can't get enough of this QRP stuff.

Text item: External Message Header

The following mail header is for administrative use and may be ignored unless there are problems.

IF THERE ARE PROBLEMS SAVE THESE HEADERS.

Precedence: list

Sender: owner-qrp-1@netcom.com

Subject: NEQRP 30-40

To: qrp-1@netcom.com

Message-Id: <9410271947.AA26288@cc.com >

>From: miker@cc.com (Mike Robinson)

Date: Thu, 27 Oct 94 13:47:18 MDT

Received: by cc.com (4.1/SMI-4.1)

id AA26288; Thu, 27 Oct 94 13:47:18 MDT

Received: from cc.com (cc.com) by csn.org with SMTP id AA10880

(5.65c/IDA-1.4.4 for <qrp-1@netcom.com>); Thu, 27 Oct 1994 13:53:46 -0600

Received: from csn.org by netcom16.netcom.com (8.6.9/Netcom)

id MAA11304; Thu, 27 Oct 1994 12:51:05 -0700

Received: by netcom16.netcom.com (8.6.9/Netcom)

id MAA11328; Thu, 27 Oct 1994 12:51:12 -0700

Received: from netcom16.netcom.com by hermes.intel.com (5.65/10.0i); Thu, 27 Oct

Received: from hermes.intel.com by relay.jf.intel.com with smtp

(Smail3.1.28.1 #2) id m0r0ck5-000twmC; Thu, 27 Oct 94 14:52 PDT

From owner-qrp-1@netcom.com Thu Oct 27 10:29:05 1994

From: JEVERHART@cayman.vf.ge.com

Date: Wed, 26 Oct 1994 10:29:07 -0400 (EDT)

Message-Id: <941026102907.21205532@cayman.vf.ge.com>

Subject: Re: QRP and Hamstick

Yes indeed, Bob, mount that rascal as high on the vehicle as you can!

I have a Plymouth Voyager with an aftermarket roof rack. I have an antenna mount on it intended for trucker's side mirror brackets. This arrangement is not strong enough to use while in motion, but it does great for fixed portable operation. One warning, however. My aftermarket roof rack is solidly grounded to the roof. Some roof racks are not electrically connected at all.

I thought I was the only one who "hammed" from the car while my wife shopped!

If you try the large magnet mount please let the group know. I'm sure there

are others of us who are interested but don't want to make the investment.
Perhaps you can be our guinea pig!

72,

Joe E. N2CX

From owner-qrp-1@netcom.com Thu Oct 27 22:10:03 1994
From: CLAYTOND@gvg47.gvg.TEK.COM
Date: Thu, 27 Oct 1994 15:31:48 -0700 (PDT)
Subject: qrp subscription
Message-Id: <01HIRY1J3X82Z9UC8G@gvg47.gvg.tek.com>

subscribe qrp
don clayton, k6dff
tnx

From owner-qrp-1@netcom.com Thu Oct 27 04:31:35 1994
Date: Wed, 26 Oct 1994 17:26:25 -0230 (NDT)
From: Robert Gobrick <bgobrick@random.ucs.mun.ca>
Subject: Re: QRP+
Message-Id: <Pine.3.87.9410261725.A26202-0100000@random.ucs.mun.ca>

Dave you just about had me convinced to buy the QRP + until your last line when you wanted Index Labs to change to a Type A rather than a Type B Curtis keying - NO WAY - I WILL NOT BUY IT IF THAT HAPPENS.

Only kidding... Do people still use Type A iambic keying... I thought that went out with converted vibroflex keyers....

72 ;-) Bob V01DRB/WA6ERB

From owner-qrp-1@netcom.com Thu Oct 27 09:54:39 1994
Date: Wed, 26 Oct 1994 19:18:41 -0700 (PDT)
From: "Harvey A. Dapeer" <hadap@crl.com>
Subject: Re: QRP+
Message-Id: <Pine.SUN.3.91.941026190905.7006C-1000000@crl6.crl.com>

Thanks Dave for your response to my criticism of the QRP+. Apparently I did not give the radio a fair shake. As I said I was only recounting my experience with this one unit. I guess I was a little quick to place blame and didn't give the accused a chance to defend itself.

The unit I had probably was an older unit. But I was not aware of that when I bought. So I guess I was deceived by the seller, or at least got the wrong impression from him.

I'm a little gun shy about buying radios right now but will take another look at the Index at a later date. I hope as the unit gets more widely distributed more report of it's pros and cons can be posted here. I wish I had read something like your reply to me before I jumped to the conclusions that I did. Thanks for enlightening me.

Harvey, K5DDJ

From owner-qrp-l@netcom.com Thu Oct 27 17:05:21 1994
Date: Thu, 27 Oct 1994 11:36:01 +0800
From: Raymond.Anderson@EBay.Sun.COM (Ray Anderson)
Message-Id: <9410271836.AA00556@uranium.EBay.Sun.COM>
Subject: slow list response

Steve-

I have a theory on what is going on with the qrp-l list on netcom. You mentioned in a recent message that some people have their messages posted almost immediately, whereas some messages don't show up for almost a day later. Notice the header from your recent message, there is a precedence line that is added by the reflector. It is set to "list". I believe this sets the priority for the outbound mail, which is really, really, really low for mail lists.

I think that if you happen to get a message to the reflector at just the right time (just before it is ready to send a bunch of list messages) you "catch the boat" and your message goes out pretty fast. However if you are unfortunate to miss the the right time, your message sits and stagnates in the outbound queue until the system feels like processing list traffic again.

Personally I think that is a heck of a way to run an airline (or mail reflector as the case may be), however it appears that is how it is. If anyone has a better explanation based on fact rather than theory I'd like to hear it.

72's de Ray WB6TPU
raymonda@uranium.ebay.sun.com

>Message-Id: <9410271750.AA100769@csemail.cropsci.ncsu.edu>
>Subject: N6ULU is loud! (fwd)
>To: qrp-l@netcom.com
>Date: Thu, 27 Oct 94 13:50:38 EDT
>Cc: ab4el@cybernetics.net, n1list@netcom.com
>X-Mailer: ELM [version 2.3 PL11]
>Sender: owner-qrp-l@netcom.com

>Precedence: list <-----The killer!
>Content-Length: 1193
>X-Lines: 45
>Status: R0

From owner-qrp-l@netcom.com Thu Oct 27 23:16:50 1994
From: qrmodena@csemail
Message-Id: <9410272310.AA100774@csemail.cropsci.ncsu.edu>
Subject: Re: slow list response
Date: Thu, 27 Oct 94 19:10:15 EDT

Below is an abbreviated version of Ray's thought on message slowness. I can easily agree that his theory operates.

But not in my case. :^)

Reason? It is now *19* hours and that message I posted last night still has not appeared.

The message that I posted after 12 hours wait (anticipation) has clearly reached everyone in the meantime.

Now, I think what is happening at netcom is a "blackhole." It doesn't bounce; it doesn't get into a long queue; it just gets scrolled into the bit-bucket. An unacceptable practice on a for-pay service.

And yes, I enjoy qrp-l so much I would send \$1 or \$2 cash to pay for subscriber cost for a year ('cuz a long subscription list of \$1's can pay for a hell of a lot more service than netcom is providing. ;^)

Not in anger at anyone...

73/Steve/AB4EL ab4el@Cybernetics.NET

> I have a theory on what is going on with the
> qrp-l list on netcom....
> Notice the header from your recent message, ...
>It is set to "list". I believe
> this sets the priority for the outbound mail, which is
> really, really, really low for mail lists.
>
> I think that if you happen to get a message to the reflector
> at just the right time (just before it is ready to send a bunch
> of list messages) you "catch the boat" and your message goes out
> pretty fast. However if you are unfortunate to miss the the right
> time, your message sits and stagnates in the outbound queue until

> the system feels like processing list traffic again.
>
> 72's de Ray WB6TPU
> raymonda@uranium.ebay.sun.com
>

From sct@pop.cwru.edu Fri Oct 28 00:12:12 1994
Message-Id: <199410280345.XAA28795@thor.INS.CWRU.Edu>
From: Stephen Trier <sct@po.cwru.edu>
Date: 28 Oct 1994 03:44:54 GMT
Subject: Re: slow list response

Steve,

Please don't blame netcom for what may not be their fault. I've sent you some direct e-mail explaining some more about this, because this isn't really an appropriate matter with which to clog up the list. I think the black hole is somewhere between you and netcom, not at netcom itself.

ObQRP: Er, I don't have any. Sorry, gang.

Stephen

--

Stephen Trier "[W]hen you've got Ethernet running to your desktop,
sct@po.cwru.edu you don't want to talk to people."
KG8IH - jacobs@eek.student.cwru.edu, on ham radio at CWRU

From owner-qrp-l@netcom.com Fri Oct 28 00:09:03 1994
Date: Fri, 28 Oct 1994 10:10:13 +0000
From: david@rmit.edu.au (David Taylor)
Subject: Type A and B Iambic keying
Message-Id: <01HIT0YTVL0I8WY3LR@pitvax.xx.rmit.edu.au>

Bob V01DRB/WA6ERB writes....

>Dave you just about had me convinced to buy the QRP + until your last
>line when you wanted Index Labs to change to a Type A rather than a Type
>B Curtis keying - NO WAY - I WILL NOT BUY IT IF THAT HAPPENS.
>
>Only kidding... Do people still use Type A iambic keying... I thought
>that went out with converted vibroflex keyers....
>
>72 ;-) Bob V01DRB/WA6ERB

I'd be very interested in a description of type A and type B Iambic keying, and why type A is to be avoided?

72

David Taylor
RMIT (Bundoora Campus)
david@rmit.edu.au

From owner-qrp-l@netcom.com Thu Oct 27 09:55:05 1994
Date: Thu, 27 Oct 1994 04:41:20 -0600
Message-Id: <199410271041.EAA02676@Freenet.HSC.Colorado.EDU>
From: ab597@Freenet.HSC.Colorado.EDU (Dave Radomski KT0H)
Subject: Unsubscribe

unsubscribe qrp-l

From owner-qrp-l@netcom.com Thu Oct 27 16:17:57 1994
Date: Thu, 27 Oct 1994 10:19:19 -0600 (MDT)
From: "Timothy J. Pettibone" <tpettibo@nmsu.edu>
Subject: Re: Worked the FOX
Message-Id: <Pine.3.89.9410271031.B32990-0100000@paris>

Me too! He was 599 in here at times. Thanks for being there Stan.
Later, I found that I answered a cq probably too close to Stan's
frequency. Sorry about that.

Tim AB50U
Las Cruces, NM

From owner-qrp-l@netcom.com Thu Oct 27 23:25:24 1994
From: qrmodena@csemail
Message-Id: <9410272257.AA100746@csemail.cropsci.ncsu.edu>
Subject: Re: Worked the FOX...suggestion
Date: Thu, 27 Oct 94 18:57:37 EDT

>
> Me too! He was 599 in here at times. Thanks for being there Stan.
> Later, I found that I answered a cq probably too close to Stan's
> frequency. Sorry about that.

>
> Tim AB50U
> Las Cruces, NM
>
>

I'm positive I heard you (AB50U) last night or have heard you during a hunt.

May I offer a tip to hunters...from my prospective...please sign /QRP. Frankly, when I get on during the hunt, I'm not interested in QRO stations and am truly interested in seeking out others from this list. For me, personally, there's that extra kick working someone from qrp-l. :^)

I do understand some do not want to send /QRP in order to get an "honest" report from QRO stations...but in between the Cuban SSB stations, the shifting digital stations, and line noise, hearing "/QRP" would help concentrate my efforts to where I want them.

Also, I enjoy the e-note or two received saying they heard me; just as I like to let people know they are getting out...and how well and how their signal sounds (for those homebrewing it).

73/Steve/AB4EL ab4el@Cybernetics.NET